

OPEN IS GOOD

CASE STUDY: AST

```
struct Node {  
    virtual double value() = 0;  
};
```

const, memory management etc elided, code
formatted to "slide style"

CASE STUDY: AST

```
struct Number : Node {  
    Number(double value) : val(value) {}  
  
    double value() override {  
        return val;  
    }  
  
    double val;  
};
```

CASE STUDY: AST

```
struct Plus : Node {  
    Plus(Node& left, Node& right)  
        : left(left), right(right) {}  
  
    double value() override {  
        return left.value() + right.value();  
    }  
  
    Node &left, &right;  
};
```

CASE STUDY: AST

```
struct Times : Node {  
    Times(Node& left, Node& right)  
        : left(left), right(right) {}  
  
    double value() override {  
        return left.value() * right.value();  
    }  
  
    Node &left, &right;  
};
```

CASE STUDY: AST

```
int main() {
    Node* expr =
        new Times(*new Number(2),
                  *new Plus(*new Number(3), *new Number(4)));
    cout << expr->value() << "\n"; // 14
    return 0;
}
```

CASE STUDY: AST

```
int main() {
    Node* expr =
        new Times(*new Number(2),
                  *new Plus(*new Number(3), *new Number(4)));

    cout /* string to RPN */
        << " = " << expr->value() << "\n";
    // 2 3 4 * + = 14

    return 0;
}
```

AST: ADD A VIRTUAL FUNCTION?

...if it needs to be virtual, make it a member function ???

```
struct Node {  
    // as before  
    virtual string toRPN() = 0;  
};  
  
struct Plus : Node {  
    // as before  
    string toRPN() override {  
        return left.toRPN() + " " + right.toRPN() + " +";  
    }  
};  
  
// same for Number and Times
```

banana -> gorilla -> jungle

AST: TYPE SWITCH?

```
string toRPN(Node& node) {
    if (auto expr = dynamic_cast<Number*>(&node)) {
        return to_string(expr->value());
    } else if (auto expr = dynamic_cast<Plus*>(&node)) {
        return toRPN(expr->left) + " " +
               toRPN(expr->right) + " +";
    } else if (auto expr = dynamic_cast<Times*>(&node)) {
        return toRPN(expr->left) + " " +
               toRPN(expr->right) + " *";
    }
    throw runtime_error("unknown node type");
}
```

needs modification each time a new Node subtype is added

AST: VISITOR?

```
struct Node {  
    // as before  
    struct Visitor {  
        virtual void accept(Number& expr) = 0;  
        virtual void accept(Plus& expr) = 0;  
        virtual void accept(Times& expr) = 0;  
    };  
  
    virtual void visit(Visitor& viz) = 0;  
};
```

AST: VISITOR?

```
struct Number : Node {  
    // as before  
    void visit(Visitor& viz) override { viz.accept(*this); }  
};  
  
struct Plus : Node {  
    void visit(Visitor& viz) override { viz.accept(*this); }  
};  
  
struct Times : Node {  
    void visit(Visitor& viz) override { viz.accept(*this); }  
};
```

AST: VISITOR?

```
struct RPNVisitor : Node::Visitor {  
    string result;  
    void accept(Number& expr) {  
        result = to_string(expr.val);  
    }  
    void accept(Plus& expr) {  
        expr.left.visit(*this);  
        string l = result;  
        expr.right.visit(*this);  
        result = l + " " + result + " +";  
    }  
    void accept(Times& expr) { ... }  
};
```

ugh, yuck!

AST: VISITOR?

```
string toRPN(Node& node) {  
    RPNVisitor viz;  
    node.visit(viz);  
    return viz.result;  
}
```

my, that was a lot of work
and, what does it even gain us?

AST: FUNCTION TABLE?

```
using RPNFormatter = string (*)(Node&);  
unordered_map<type_index, RPNFormatter> RPNformatters;  
  
string toRPN(Node& node) {  
    return RPNformatters[typeid(node)](node);  
}
```

AST: FUNCTION TABLE?

```
namespace { struct Init {  
    Init() {  
        RPNformatters[typeid(Number)] = [](Node& node) {  
            return to_string(static_cast<Number&>(node).val); };  
        RPNformatters[typeid(Plus)] = [](Node& node) {  
            auto expr = static_cast<Plus&>(node);  
            return toRPN(expr.left) + " " + toRPN(expr.right)  
                + " +"; };  
        // same for Time  
    }  
};  
Init init;  
} }
```

not bad, actually

THE EXPRESSION PROBLEM

behaviors += types

types += behavior

MULTI-LAYER ARCHITECTURES

PRESENTATION

DOMAIN

PERSISTENCE

- presentation: PersonDlg, CriminalCaseDlg
- domain: Person, CriminalCase
- persistence: persist to database, to json...
- cross-cutting concerns

YOMM2

AST: OPEN METHODS

```
#include <yorel/yomm2/cute.hpp>

register_class(Node);
register_class(Plus, Node);
register_class(Times, Node);
register_class(Number, Node);
```

(the boring part)

AST: OPEN METHODS

```
using yorel::yomm2::virtual_;  
  
declare_method(string, toRPN, (virtual_<const Node&>));  
  
define_method(string, toRPN, (const Number& expr)) {  
    return std::to_string(expr.val);  
}  
  
define_method(string, toRPN, (const Plus& expr)) {  
    return toRPN(expr.left) + " " + toRPN(expr.right) + " +";  
}  
  
// same for Times
```

AST: WHAT ABOUT VALUE?

- value in the node hierarchy screams interpreter
- the AST classes should *only* represent the tree

```
declare_method(int, value, (virtual_<const Node&>));  
  
define_method(int, value, (const Number&& expr)) {  
    return expr.val;  
}  
  
define_method(int, value, (const Plus& expr)) {  
    return value(expr.left) + value(expr.right);  
}  
  
define_method(int, value, (const Times& expr)) {  
    return value(expr.left) * value(expr.right);  
}
```

MULTIPLE DISPATCH?

Yes.

OCCASIONALLY USEFUL

add(Matrix, Matrix)

-> Matrix

add all elements

add(DiagonalMatrix, DiagonalMatrix)

-> DiagonalMatrix

just add diagonals

fight(Human, Creature, Axe)

-> not agile enough to wield

fight(Warrior, Creature, Axe)

-> chop it into pieces

fight(Warrior, Dragon, Axe)

-> die a honorable death

fight(Human, Dragon, Hands)

-> you just killed a dragon

with your bare hands!

incredible isn't it?

SYNTAX

Just use `virtual_<>` on several arguments:

```
declare_method(std::string, fight,
    (virtual_<Character&>, virtual_<Creature&>,
     virtual_<Device&>));

define_method(std::string, fight,
    (Human& x, Creature& y, Axe& z)) {
    return "not agile enough to wield";
}

define_method(std::string, fight,
    (Human& x, Dragon& y, Hands& z)) {
    return "you just killed a dragon with your bare hands."
        " Incredible isn't it?";
}
```

SELECTING THE RIGHT SPECIALIZATION

- works just like selecting from set of overloads (but at runtime!)
- or partial template specialization
- ambiguities can arise

next

calls the next most specific override

```
define_method(std::string, kick, (Dog& dog)) {  
    return "bark";  
}  
  
define_method(std::string, kick, (Bulldog& dog)) {  
    return next(dog) + " and bite";  
}
```

next

```
define_method(void, inspect, (Vehicle& v, Inspector& i)) {
    cout << "Inspect vehicle.\n";
}

define_method(void, inspect, (Car& v, Inspector& i)) {
    next(v, i);
    cout << "Inspect seat belts.\n";
}

define_method(void, inspect, (Car& v, StateInspector& i)) {
    next(v, i);
    cout << "Check road tax.\n";
}
```

IS THIS OOP?

- brief history of OOP: Simula, Smalltalk, C++/Java/D/...
- CLOS: not objects talking to each other a la Smalltalk
- algorithms retake the front stage
- no unnecessary breach of encapsulation

INSIDE YOMM2

- purely in C++17 (no extra tooling)
- constant time dispatch
- uses tables of function pointers
- object -> dispatch data?
 - perfect integer hash of &type_info

A PAYROLL APPLICATION

- *Role*
 - Employee
 - Manager
 - Founder
- *Expense (X)*
 - Cab, Jet
 - *Public*
 - Bus, Train

THE pay (UNI-) METHOD

```
declare_method(double, pay, (virtual_<Employee&>));  
  
define_method(double, pay, (Employee&)) {  
    return 3000;  
}  
  
define_method(double, pay, (Manager& manager)) {  
    return next(manager) + 2000;  
}
```

THE approve (MULTI-) METHOD

```
declare_method(bool, approve,
    (virtual_<Role&>, virtual_<Expense&>, double));
define_method(bool, approve,
    (Role& r, Expense& e, double amount))
{ return false; }
define_method(bool, approve,
    (Employee& r, Public& e, double amount))
{ return true; }
define_method(bool, approve,
    (Manager& r, Taxi& e, double amount))
{ return true; }
define_method(bool, approve,
    (Founder& r, Expense& e, double amount))
{ return true; }
```

DECLARE_METHOD

```
declare_method(double, pay, (virtual_<Employee&>));
```

```
struct _yomm2_method_pay;

namespace {
namespace YoMm2_nS_10 {
using _y0MM2_method =
    method<void, _yomm2_method_pay,
        double(virtual_<Employee &>),
        default_policy>;
_y0MM2_method::init_method init;
}
}
```

DECLARE_METHOD

```
declare_method(double, pay, (virtual_<Employee&>));
```

```
YoMm2_ns_10::_y0MM2_method  
pay(discriminator, Employee & a0);  
  
inline double  
pay(Employee & a0) {  
    auto pf = reinterpret_cast<double (*)(<  
        Employee & a0>)(<  
            YoMm2_ns_10::_y0MM2_method::resolve(a0));  
    return pf(a0);  
};
```

DEFINE_METHOD

```
define_method(double, pay, (Employee&)) { return 3000; }
```

```
namespace { namespace YoMM2_ns_12 {
template <typename T> struct select;
template <typename... A> struct select<void(A...)> {
    using type = decltype(
        pay(discriminator(), std::declval<A>()...));
};

using _y0MM2_method =
    select<void(Employee &)>::type;
using _y0MM2_return_t = _y0MM2_method::return_type;
_y0MM2_return_t (*next)(Employee &);
```

DEFINE_METHOD

```
define_method(double, pay, (Employee&)) { return 3000; }
```

```
struct _y0MM2_spec {
    static double body(Employee &);
};

register_spec<_y0MM2_return_t, _y0MM2_method,
             _y0MM2_spec, void(Employee &) >
_y0MM2_init((void **) &next);
} }

double YoMm2_nS_12::_y0MM2_spec::body(Employee &)
{ return 3000; }
```

UPDATE_METHODS

- process the info registered by static ctors
- build representation of class hierarchies
- build all the dispatch data inside a single vector
- find a perfect hash function over relevant type_info's
 - $H(x) = (M * x) \gg S$

DISPATCHING A UNI-METHOD

- pretty much like virtual member functions
- method table contains a pointer to the effective function
- only it is not at a fixed offset in the method table

DISPATCHING A 1-METHOD

during update_methods

```
method<pay>::slots_strides.i = 1;

// method table for Employee
mtbls[ H(&typeid(Employee)) ] = {
    ... // used by approve,
    wrapper(pay(Employee&))
};

// method table for Manager
mtbls[ H(&typeid(Manager)) ] = {
    ... // used by approve,
    wrapper(pay(Manager&))
};
```

DISPATCHING A 1-METHOD

```
pay(employee)
```

=>

```
mtbls[ H(&typeid(employee)) ]      // mtable for type
      [ method<pay>::slots_strides.i ] // pointer to fun
      (employee)                      // call
```

PERFORMANCE?

```
double call_pay(Employee& e) { return pay(e); }
```

```
; ; ; g++-6 -DNDEBUG -O2 ...
movq    mtbls(%rip), %rax           ; hash table
movb    mtbls+32(%rip), %cl         ; shift factor
movslq   method<pay>::slots_strides(%rip), %rdx ; slot
movq    (%rdi), %rsi               ; vptr
movq    -8(%rsi), %rsi              ; &type_info
imulq   mtbls+24(%rip), %rsi        ; * M
shrq    %cl, %rsi                 ; >> S
movq    (%rax,%rsi,8), %rax        ; method table
jmpq    *(%rax,%rdx,8)             ; call
```

DISPATCHING A MULTI-METHOD

- it's a little more complicated
- use a multi-dimensional dispatch table
- size can grow very quickly
- the table must be "compressed", devoid of redundancies
- in fact the "uncompressed" table never exists
- work in terms of class *groups*, not classes

DISPATCHING A MULTI-METHOD

	Expense+Jet	Public+Bus+Train	Cab
Role	R,X	R,X	R,X
Employee	R,X	E,P	R,X
Manager	R,X	E,P	M,C
Founder	F,X	F,X	F,X
(column major)			

DISPATCHING A MULTI-METHOD

```
method<approve>::slots_strides.pw = { 0, 4, 0 };

mtbls[ H(&typeid(Employee)) ] = {
    // & of (Employee,Expense+Jet) cell
    // used by pay
};

mtbls[ H(&typeid(Manager)) ] = {
    // & of (Manager,Expense+Jet) cell
    // used by pay
};

mtbls[ H(&typeid(Expense)) ] = { 0 }; // also for Jet
mtbls[ H(&typeid(Public)) ] = { 1 }; // also for Bus, Train
mtbls[ H(&typeid(Cab)) ] = { 2 };
```

DISPATCHING A MULTI-METHOD

```
approve(role, expense, amount)
```

=>

```
word* slots_strides = method<approve>::slots_strides.pw;  
  
mtbls[ H(&typeid(r)) ]      // method table for 'r'  
  [ slots_strides.pw[0].i ] // ptr to cell in 1st column  
  [ mtbls [ H(&typeid(x)) ] // method table for 'x'  
    [ slots_strides[2].i * // column  
      slots_strides[1].i ] // stride  
  ]                      // pointer to function  
(role, expense, amount)     // call
```

PERFORMANCE SUMMARY

		gcc6	clang6
<hr/>			
normal inheritance			
virtual function	1-method	16%	17%
double dispatch	2-method	25%	35%
<hr/>			
virtual inheritance			
virtual function	1-method	19%	17%
double dispatch	2-method	40%	33%

YOMM2 VS OTHER SYSTEMS

- Pirkelbauer - Solodkyi - Stroustrup (PSS)
- yomm11
- (Cmm)
- (Loki)

YOMM2 VS PSS

- Solodon's papers on open methods etc:
 - Open Multi-Methods for C++
 - Design and evaluation of C++ open multi-methods
 - Simplifying the Analysis of C++ Programs
- yomm2 overrides are not available for overloading
- yomm2 overrides cannot specialize multiple methods
- PSS attempts harder to resolve ambiguities
- yomm2 supports smart pointers
- yomm2 supports next

YOMM2 VS YOMM11

- no need to instrument classes to get speed
- methods are ordinary functions (overload, etc)
- seamless overriding across namespaces

LINKS

- github: <https://github.com/jll63/yomm2>
- this presentation:
<https://jll63.github.io/yomm2/cppnow2018/>
- contact: Jean-Louis Leroy - jl@leroy.nyc